arXiv:1602.02489v2 [nlin.CD] 9 Jul 2016

NOTE ON A PARAMETER SWITCHING METHOD FOR NONLINEAR ODES

MARIUS-F. DANCA** AND MICHAL FEČKAN* (ALPHABETIC ORDER)

Abstract. In this paper we study analytically a parameter switching (PS) algorithm applied to a class of systems of ODE, depending on a single real parameter. The algorithm allows the numerical approximation of any solution of the underlying system by simple periodical switches of the control parameter. Near a general approach of the convergence of the PS algorithm, some dissipative properties are investigated and the dynamical behavior of solutions is investigated with the Lyapunov function method. A numerical example is presented.

1. Introduction

In [1] it was proved that the Parameter Switching (PS) algorithm, applied to a class of Initial Values Problems (IVP) modeling a great majority of continuous nonlinear and autonomous dynamical systems depending to a single real control parameter, allows to approximate any desired solution, while in [2] several applications are presented. By choosing a finite set of parameters values, PS switches in some deterministic (periodic) way the control parameter within the chosen set, for relative short time subintervals, while the underlying IVP is numerical integrated. The obtained "switched" solution will approximate the "averaged" solution obtained for the parameter replaced with the averaged of the switched values. As verified numerically, (see e.g. [3]), the switchings can be implemented even in some random manner but the convergence proof is much more complicated.

The PS algorithm applies to systems modeled by the following autonomous IVP

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) + pAx(t), \quad t \in I = [0, T], \quad x(0) = x_0, \tag{1.1}$$

for $T > 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a nonlinear function.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34K28; Secondary 34C29, 37B25, 34H10.

Key words and phrases. Numerical approximation of solutions, aeraging method, Lyapunov function, chaos control, anticontrol.

M. Fečkan is partially supported by Grants VEGA-MS 1/0507/11, VEGA-SAV 2/0029/13 and APVV-0134-1.

In order to ensure the uniqueness of solution, the following assumption is considered

H1 f satisfies the usual Lipschitz condition

$$|f(y_1) - f(y_2)| \leq L|y_1 - y_2| \forall y_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.2}$$

for some $L > 0$.

By applying the PS algorithm to a system modeled by the IVP (1.1), it is possible to approximate any desired solution and, consequently, any attractor of the underlying system, by simple switches of the control parameter.

The great majority of known dynamical systems, such as: Lorenz, Chua, Rössler, Chen, Lotka-Volterra, to name just a few, are modeled by the IVP (1.1).

The PS algorithm applies not only to integer-order systems, but also for fractional-order systems [3], discrete real systems [4] and complex systems [5].

In this work, near a general approach of the convergence of the PS algorithm, some dissipative properties are discussed by means of the Lyapunov function method.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the PS algorithm and his numerical implementation, in Section 3 is presented a general approach of the convergence of the PS algorithm, Section 4 gives an estimation between the average and switched numerical solutions, while in Section 5 a Lyapunov approach is presented. The paper ends with a conclusions section.

2. PS algorithm

Let us partition the integration interval $I = \bigcup_j (\bigcup_{i=1}^N I_{i,j})$, $j \geq 1$ (see the sketch in Figure 1, where the particular case $N = 3$ has been considered), and let also denote by

$$\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_N\} \subset \mathbb{R},\ N \geq 2,$$

the switching values set.

p will be considered a periodic piece-wise constant function $p : I \to \mathcal{P}$, $p(t) = p_i$, for $t \in I_{i,j} \cap I$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ for every $j \geq 1$. In this way, p will be switched in every subinterval $I_{i,j}$ (Figure 1).

Due to the p periodicity, for the sake of simplicity, let us drop next the index j unless necessary.

In order to specify p more concretely, let $h > 0$ be the switching step size. Then, the subintervals I_i can be expressed as follows: $I_i = [M_{i-1}h, M_i h)$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$, with $M_0 = 0$, $M_i := \sum_{k=1}^{i} m_k$, $m_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ being the "weights" of p_i in the subinterval I_i. Thus, $p(t) = p_h(t) = p_i$ for $t \in [M_{i-1}h, M_i h)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, with period $T_p := hM_N$. We suppose $T > T_p$. Thus, in the above notation we have $I_{i,j} = I_{i,j-1} + (j-1)T_p$. For example, in Figure 1, $I_{3,2} = I_{3,1} + T_p$.




FIGURE 1. Sketch of the time interval I partition, for the case $N = 3$.

Let denote the "weighted average" of the values of $\mathcal{P}$ by

$$p^* := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i m_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i}, \tag{2.1}$$

Then, the switched solution, obtained with the PS algorithm by switching p to p_i in each subinterval I_i, $1 \leq i \leq N$, while the underlying IVP is numerically integrated with some fixed step size h, will tend to the averaged solution obtained for p replaced with p^* [1]. Precisely, these two solutions are $O(h)$ near on $[0, T]$.

Remark 2.1. The simplicity of the PS algorithm resides in the linear appearance of p in the term $pAx(t)$ in (1.1).

To implement numerically the PS algorithm, the only we need is to choose some numerical method with the single step-size h to integrate the underlying IVP. Thus, let us suppose one intend to approximate with PS the solution corresponding to some value p^* [1]. Then, we have to find a set $\mathcal{P}$ and the corresponding weights m, such that (2.1) gives the searched value p^* (details on the numerical implementation can be found in [1] or [2]).

For example, suppose we intend to approximate a stable cycle of the Lorenz system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= a(x_2 - x_1), \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_1(r - x_3) - x_2, \\ \dot{x}_3 &= x_1 x_2 - c x_3, \end{aligned}$$

corresponding to $p := r = 155$ and to the usually values $a = 10$ and $c = 8/3$. In this case, $f(x)$ and A in (1.1) are

[1] Due to the supposed uniqueness, to different p, different solutions.




FIGURE 2. Phase plot of the stable cycle of the Lorenz system corresponding to $p = 155$, approximated with the PS algorithm by switching the values $\mathcal{P} = \{150, 152, 168\}$. The averaged (blue) and the switched (red) solutions are over-plotted.

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x_2 - x_1) \\ -x_1 x_3 - x_2 \\ x_1 x_2 - c x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \; A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using e.g. $\mathcal{P} = \{150, 152, 168\}$, one of the possible choices for weights to obtain $p^* = 155$ in (2.1), is $m_1 = 2, m_2 = 1$ and $m_3 = 1$, i.e. $p^* = (2 \times 150 + 1 \times 152 + 1 \times 168)/(2 + 1 + 1) = 155$. Next, by applying the PS algorithm via the standard RK method (utilized here) with $h = 0.001$, after $T = 10sec$ one obtains a good match between the two solutions: averaged solution (in blue) and switched (in red) (Figure 2).

In all images, the transients have been neglected.

The same stable cycle (corresponding to $p = 155$) can be obtained with other sets $\mathcal{P}$ and weights m verifying (2.1). Thus, this stable movement can be approximated, for example, by switching the following seven values: $\mathcal{P} = \{125, 130, 140, 156, 160, 168, 200\}$ with weights $m_1 = 2, m_2 = 3, m_3 = 2, m_4 = 2, m_5 = 3, m_6 = 1, m_7 = 3$. Again, these values gives $p^* = 155$. This time, due to the inherent numerical errors (see [1]), to obtain a good fitting between the two curves, we had to choose a smaller step size $h = 0.0001$ (Figure 3).




FIGURE 3. Phase plot of the stable cycle of the Lorenz system corresponding to $p = 155$, approximated with the PS algorithm by switching the values $\mathcal{P} = \{125, 130, 140, 156, 160, 168, 200\}$.

Another stable cycle, corresponding to $p = 93$ is approximated in Figure 4, by using $\mathcal{P} = \{89, 92, 95\}$ and $m_1 = 1, m_2 = 2, m_3 = 3$ and integration step size $h = 0.001$.

Remark 2.2.

(i) PS algorithm is useful when, due to some objective reasons, some desired targeted value p^* cannot be accessed directly.

(ii) Since, as we shall see in the next sections, with the PS algorithm any solution of the IVP (1.1) can be numerically approximated, when the obtained (switched) solution gives rise to a stable cycle, the algorithm can be considered as a chaos control-like method while, when chaotic motions are approximated, it can be considered an anticontrol-like method (see e.g. [2]). Compared to the known control methods, such as OGY-like schemes, where the unstable periodic orbits are "forced" to become stable, with the PS algorithm one approximate any desirable stable orbit.

(iii) The size of h is considered to be stated implicitly by the utilized convergent numerical method for ODEs (here the standard RK, see e.g. [6]).

3. Convergence of PS algorithm

In this Section we prove the PS algorithm convergence.




FIGURE 4. Phase plot of the stable cycle of the Lorenz system corresponding to $p = 93$, approximated with the PS algorithm by switching the values $\mathcal{P} = \{89, 92, 95\}$.

Let $p_h(t) := P(t/h)$ for any $h > 0$, h being considered as a small parameter (see also Remark 2.2 (iii)). Then, the switching equation has the following form

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) + p_h(t)Ax(t), \quad t \in I = [0, T], \quad x(0) = x_0, \tag{3.1}$$

The averaged equation of (3.1) (obtained for p replaced with p^* given by (2.1)), is

$$\dot{\bar{x}}(t) = f(\bar{x}(t)) + p^* A\bar{x}(t), \quad t \in I = [0, T], \quad \bar{x}(0) = \bar{x}_0. \tag{3.2}$$

Under the assumption **H1**, the convergence of the PS algorithm is given by the following theorem

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\|\cdot\|_0$ be the maximum norm on $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., $\|\bar{x}\|_0 := \max_{t\in[0,T]} |\bar{x}(t)|$ for $\bar{x}$. Under the above assumptions, it holds*

$$|x(t) - \bar{x}(t)| \leq (|x_0 - \bar{x}_0| + h\|A\|\|\bar{x}\|_0 K) \times e^{(L+\|P\|_0\|A\|)T}, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, where

$$K := \max_{t\in[0,M_N]} \left| \int_0^t (P(s) - p^*)ds \right|.$$

Proof. From (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$|x(t)-\bar{x}(t)| \le |x_0-\bar{x}_0| + L\int_0^t |x(s)-\bar{x}(s)|ds + \left|\int_0^t (p_h(s)-p^*)ds\right| \|A\|\|\bar{x}\|_0$$
$$+\|P\|_0\|A\| \int_0^t |x(s)-\bar{x}(s)|ds = |x_0-\bar{x}_0| + \|A\|\|\bar{x}\|_0 \left|\int_0^t (p_h(s)-p^*)ds\right|$$
$$+(L+\|P\|_0\|A\|)\int_0^t |x(s)-\bar{x}(s)|ds.$$

Note that

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]} |p_h(t)| \le \|P\|_0 = \max_{t\in[0,M_N]} |P(t)| = \max|m_i|.$$

Next it holds

$$\int_0^t (p_h(s)-p^*)ds = h\int_0^{t/h} (P(s)-p^*)ds.$$

Since $\int_0^t (P(s)-q)ds$ is M_N-periodic, we have

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]} \left|\int_0^{t/h} (P(s)-p^*)ds\right| \le K.$$

Note K is independent of T. Hence

$$|x(t)-\bar{x}(t)| = |x_0-\bar{x}_0| + h\|A\|\|\bar{x}\|_0 K + (L+\|P\|_0\|A\|)\int_0^t |x(s)-\bar{x}(s)|ds.$$

Finally, by Gronwall inequality [7], we obtain (3.3). The proof is finished. □

Remark 3.2. This proof is more general than the proof presented in [8], where the convergence is obtained via the averaging method (see [11]).

4. Numerical approximation estimates

Next, by using numerical approximation estimates, another generalized proof of the convergence of PS algorithm is presented.

Let us consider again the switched and averaged equation (3.1) and (3.2) respectively.

For each

$$t \in [M_i h + kT_p, M_{i+1}h + kT_p) \cap [0,T], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

the differential equation (3.1) is actually an autonomous ODE

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) + pAx(t), \tag{4.1}$$

with $p = p_i$. Let $\varphi_p(t,x)$ be the flow of (4.1). Then it holds

$$|\varphi_p(t,x_1) - \varphi_p(t,x_2)| \le |x_1-x_2| + (L+|p|\|A\|)\int_0^t |\varphi_p(s,x_1) - \varphi_p(s,x_2)|ds,$$

and by Gronwall inequality [7] we obtain

$$|\varphi_p(t,x_1)-\varphi_p(t,x_2)|\leq |x_1-x_2|e^{(L+|p|\|A\|)t}. \tag{4.2}$$

For some discretization scheme $\psi\in C([0,h_0]\times\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $h_0\in(0,1)$ of (4.1), we suppose [6,9] that

$$|\psi_p(h,x)-\varphi_p(h,x)|\leq Mh^{r+1}\,\forall (h,x)\in[0,h_0]\times\mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4.3}$$

for some $M>0$ and $r\in\mathbb{N}$.

Let us consider now the numerical approximation sequence of the switched equation (3.1) $u_0:=x_0$, and by induction: $u_{j+1}:=\psi_p(h,u_j)$, with $p=p_i$ and $jh\in[M_ih+kT_p,M_{i+1}h+kT_p)\cap[0,T]$.

Similarly, we consider the sequences corresponding to the averaged equation (3.2) $\bar v_j=\bar x(jh),\bar v_j^*:=\psi_{p^*}^j(h,\bar x_0),v_0:=x_0$.

By induction: $v_{j+1}:=\varphi_p(h,v_j)$, with $p=p_i$ and $jh\in[M_ih+kT_p,M_{i+1}h+kT_p)\cap[0,T]$.

Note that (see (3.1)) $v_j=x(jh)$, so by (3.3), we have

$$|v_j-\bar v_j|\leq(|x_0-\bar x_0|+h\|A\|\|\bar x\|_0K)e^{(L+\|P\|_0\|A\|)T}, \tag{4.4}$$

when $jh\in[0,T]$. Next using (4.2) and (4.3), we derive

$$\begin{gathered}|u_{j+1}-v_{j+1}|=|\psi_p(h,u_j)-\varphi_p(h,v_j)|\\ \leq|\psi_p(h,u_j)-\varphi_p(h,u_j)|+|\varphi_p(h,u_j)-\varphi_p(h,v_j)|\\ \leq|u_j-v_j|e^{(L+\|P\|_0\|A\|)h}+Mh^{r+1}.\end{gathered}$$

Then we get

$$|u_j-v_j|\leq Mh^{r+1}je^{(L+\|p\|_0\|A\|)jh}\leq MTh^re^{(L+\|P\|_0\|A\|)T},\ jh\in[0,T]. \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly, we derive

$$\begin{gathered}|\bar v_{j+1}^*-\bar v_{j+1}|=|\psi_{p^*}(h,\bar v_j^*)-\varphi_{p^*}(h,\bar v_j)|\\ \leq|\psi_{p^*}(h,\bar v_j^*)-\varphi_{p^*}(h,\bar v_j^*)|+|\varphi_{p^*}(h,\bar v_j^*)-\varphi_{p^*}(h,\bar v_j)|\\ \leq|\bar v_j^*-\bar v_j|e^{(L+\|P\|_0\|A\|)h}+Mh^{r+1}.\end{gathered}$$

Then we get

$$|\bar v_j^*-\bar v_j|\leq MTh^re^{(L+\|P\|_0\|A\|)T},\ jh\in[0,T]. \tag{4.6}$$

Consequently, combining (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), we arrive at

$$\begin{gathered}|\bar v_j^*-u_j|\leq|\bar v_j^*-\bar v_j|+|\bar v_j-v_j|+|v_j-u_j|\\ \leq h(|x_0-\bar x_0|+2MTh^{r-1}+\|A\|\|\bar x\|_0K)e^{(L+\|P\|_0\|A\|)T},\ jh\in[0,T].\end{gathered} \tag{4.7}$$

Remark 4.1. Inequality (4.7) gives an estimation between numerical solutions of the switched equation (3.1) and averaged equation (3.2) by applying one-step method of order r with step size h and represents another generalization

of the PS convergence for any utilized Runge-Kutta method (see [8] where the convergence is proved via the standard RK method).

5. Lyapunov method approach

We consider (3.1) on $I = [0, \infty)$ and assume that $f \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Motivated by [10, pp. 168-169] and [11], we take a change of variables

$$x(t) = y(t) + \Big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) + (t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\Big)Ay(t), \quad (5.1)$$

for $t \in [M_i h + kT_p, M_{i+1}h + kT_p)$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $P_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} p_j m_j$, to derive

$$\begin{aligned} &f\Big(y + \big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) + (t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\big)By\Big) \\ &+p_{i+1}A\Big(y + \big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) + (t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\big)By\Big) \\ &= f(x) + p_{i+1}Ax = \dot{x} = \Big(\mathbb{I} + \big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) \\ &+(t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\big)A\Big)\dot{y} + (p_{i+1} - p^*)By. \end{aligned}$$

Next, since $P_i \le M_N p^*$, $p_i \le \|P\|_0$, $p^* \le \|P\|_0$ and $m_i \le M_i \le M_N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(h(P_i - M_i p^*) + (t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*))A\| \\ &\le 2M_N h\|A\|(p^* + \|P\|_0). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Hence if

$$4M_N h\|A\|(p^* + \|P\|_0) \le 1, \quad (5.3)$$

then by Neumann theorem [12], the matrix

$$\mathbb{I} + \big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) + (t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\big)A$$

is invertible and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\|\Big(\mathbb{I} + \big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) + (t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\big)A\Big)^{-1}\Big\| \le 2, \\ &\Big\|\Big(\mathbb{I} + \big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) + (t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\big)A\Big)^{-1} - \mathbb{I}\Big\| \\ &\le 4M_N h\|A\|(p^* + \|P\|_0). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Consequently, we get a system

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(y(t)) + p^* Ay(t) + hg(y, t, h), \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(y,t,h) = \frac{1}{h}\Big[&\Big(\mathbb{I} + \big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) + (t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\big)A\Big)^{-1} \\ \times&\Big\{f\Big(y + \big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) + (t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\big)By\Big) + p_{i+1}A\big(h(P_i - M_i p^*) \\ &+(t - h(M_i + kM_N))(p_{i+1} - p^*)\big)By\Big\} - f(y)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.2) and (5.4), we note

$$\begin{aligned}|g(y,t,h)| &\le \frac{1}{h}\Big\|\Big(\mathbb{I}+\big(h(P_i-M_ip^*)+(t-h(M_i+kM_N))(p_{i+1}-p^*)\big)A\Big)^{-1}\Big\|\\ &\times\Big\{\Big|f\Big(y+\big(h(P_i-M_ip^*)+(t-h(M_i+kM_N))(p_{i+1}-p^*)\big)By\Big)-f(y)\Big|\\ &+\|P\|_0\|A\||h(P_i-M_ip^*)+(t-h(M_i+kM_N))(p_{i+1}-p^*)|\|A\||y|\Big\}\\ &+\frac{1}{h}\Big\|\Big(\mathbb{I}+\big(h(P_i-M_ip^*)+(t-h(M_i+kM_N))(p_{i+1}-p^*)\big)A\Big)^{-1}-\mathbb{I}\Big\||f(y)|\\ &\le 4M_N\|A\|(p^*+\|P\|_0)\times(L+\|p\|_0\|A\|+|f(0)|+L|y|).\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Assume that the averaged system (3.2) has a strict Lyapunov function V on a bounded subset $M\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ [13], so there is an $\alpha>0$ such that

$$\dot V(y):=V'(y)(f(y)+p^*By)\le-\alpha\quad\forall y\in M. \tag{5.7}$$

According to (5.6), $\|g(y,t,\lambda)\|\le Q$ for any $y\in M$, t and h satisfying (5.3) with

$$Q:=4P\|A\|(p^*+\|P\|_0)\Big(L+\|P\|_0\|A\|+|f(0)|+L\text{dist}\{0,M\}\Big).$$

Then if $y(t)\in M$, for a solution of (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}V(y(t)) &:= hV'(y(t))\Big(f(y(t))+p^*Ay(t)+\lambda g(y(t),t,h)\Big)\\ &\le h(-\alpha+hQ)\le-h\alpha/2,\end{aligned}$$

when $h\le\frac{\alpha}{2Q}$ along with (5.3), i.e.

$$h\le\min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{2Q},\frac{1}{4M_N\|A\|(p^*+\|P\|_0)}\right\}. \tag{5.8}$$

Therefore, by using the Lyapunov function, we can get some stability, dissipation and domain of attractions from (3.2) to (3.1). As a simple example, we can suppose that (3.2) is inward oriented in some domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ where Ω is an open bounded subset with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, i.e. there is a smooth function $V:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $V^{-1}(0)=\partial\Omega$ and (5.7) holds for a positive constant $\alpha>0$ with $M=\partial\Omega$. Then, applying the above results, Ω is also inward for (3.1) with h satisfying (5.8), so Ω is invariant and locally attracting for (3.1). Then, the Brouwer fixed point theorem [14] ensures an existence of T_p-periodic solution of (3.1) in Ω.

6. Conclusion

This paper is devoting to a comprehensive analytical study of the PS algorithm. We presented a general approach of his convergence and derive precise error estimates for solutions in terms of the switching step size. We also tackle the dynamical behavior of solutions via the Lyapunov method.

It is to note that the algorithm can be directly extended to the case when p is not T_p-periodic.

Finally, as possible further directions, the convergence of the PS algorithm for discontinuous systems and for fractional-order systems will be considered.

References

[1] Danca, M.-F.: Convergence of a parameter switching algorithm for a class of nonlinear continuous systems and a generalization of Parrondo's paradox, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat. 18, 500–510 (2013).

[2] Danca, M.-F., Romera, M., Pastor, G., Montoya, F.: Finding Attractors of Continuous-Time Systems by Parameter Switching, Nonlinear Dyn. 67, 2317-2342 (2012).

[3] Danca, M.-F., Random parameter-switching synthesis of a class of hyperbolic attractors, CHAOS 18, 033111 (2008).

[4] Romera, M., Small, M., Danca, M.-F., Deterministic and random synthesis of discrete chaos, Applied Mathematics and Computation 192, 283-297, 2007.

[5] Almeida, J., Peralta-Salas, D., Romera, M., Can two chaotic systems give rise to order?, Physica D 200, 12, 124-132.

[6] Stuart, A. M., Humphries, A. R., Dynamical Systems and Numerical Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1998).

[7] Hale, J.K.: Ordinary Differential Equations, Dover Publications, New York, 2009 (first published: John Wiley & Sons, 1969)

[8] Mao, Y., Tang, W.K.S., Danca, M-F., An Averaging Model for Chaotic System with Periodic Time-Varying Parameter, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217, 355–362, 2010.

[9] Hairer, E., Lubich, Ch., Wanner, G., Geometric Numerical Integration: Structure-Preserving Algorithms for Ordinary Differential Equations, 2^{nd} edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2006)

[10] Guckenheimer, J., Holmes, P., Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems and Bifurcation of Vector Fields, Springer-Verlag, New-York (1983).

[11] Sanders, J. A., Verhulst, F., Murdock, J.: Averaging Methods in Nonlinear Dynamical Systems, 2^{nd} edition, Springer-Verlag, New York (2007).

[12] Rudin, W., Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York (1973).

[13] Rouche, N., Habets, P., Laloy, M., Stability Theory by Liapunov's Direct Method, Springer-Verlag, New York (1977).

[14] Fečkan, M., Topological Degree Approach to Bifurcation Problems, 1^{th} edition, Springer, Berlin (2008).

* Department of Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Mathematics
Comenius University
Mlynská dolina
842 48 Bratislava
SLOVAKIA
and
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences
Štefánikova 49
814 73 Bratislava
SLOVAKIA
E-mail address: Michal.Feckan@fmph.uniba.sk

** Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Emanuel University
Str. Nufarului nr. 87,
410597 Oradea,
Romania
and
Romanian Institute for Science and Technology
Str. Cireilor 29
400487 Cluj-Napoca
ROMANIA
E-mail address: danca@rist.ro